

Global Psychotrauma Screen (GPS)

User guide Feb 2024

The GPS in short	2
Development of the GPS	
GPS languages	5
The GPS app	5
Collecting GPS data for research or clinical use	5
Scoring and interpretation	5
Psychometric properties and norm data	7
GPS existing data sets	7
Ongoing research using the GPS	
References	8
Appendices	10
Appendix 1. GPS English version	10
Appendix 2. GPS symptoms and domain scores (16 Feb 2024)	12
Appendix 3. GPS symptoms scores per country (16 Feb 2024)	13
Appendix 4. SPSS Syntax	15



The GPS in short

- The GPS is a transdiagnostic screener for stress and trauma related reactions in the past month.
- The GPS begins with questions about the stressful or traumatic event.
- The GPS provides indicators of:
 - o specific trauma related disorders or problems (e.g., PTSD)
 - o overall symptom burden
- The GPS also assesses risk and protective factors known to influence the development or course of symptoms
- The GPS can be used in a variety of settings such as in primary care, after disasters, or in clinical practice.
- The GPS is available in over 30 languages.
- The GPS-app provides the user with direct feedback on the scores.
- A 'positive' score may require more detailed follow-up assessments, e.g., with structured interviews for specific disorders.



Development of the GPS

The GPS was developed by an international group of experts representing traumatic stress societies worldwide, called the Global Collaboration on Traumatic Stress (Olff et al., 2020; Schnyder et al., 2017). The aim was to address the need for a brief instrument that would assess the wide range of acute or long-term potential consequences of very stressful / potentially traumatic events. The instrument screens for more than posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD; see GPS domains below). The GPS is intended for use in a variety of settings such as in primary care, after disasters, or for quick screening in clinical practice. For details on its development, see Olff et al. (2020).

The GPS and its domains

The GPS consists of 17 symptom items, 5 risk/protective factor items, each answered in a yes/no format, and one functioning item assessed on a scale from 1 (poor) to 10 (excellent).

The English version is attached (Appendix 1). See website (https://www.global-psychotrauma.net/gps) for other languages.

GPS and its domains

Symptom domains (17 items)

- PTSD
- Disturbances in Self-Organization (DSO) as part of Complex PTSD
- Anxiety
- Depression
- Sleep problems
- Self-harm
- Dissociation
- Other physical, emotional or social problems
- Substance abuse

Risk and protective factors (5 items)

- Other stressful events
- Childhood trauma
- History of mental illness
- Social support
- Psychological resilience



Table 1. GPS languages, availability through GPS app and pdf download

LANGUAGE	TAKE GPS APP	DOWNLOAD PDF
Afrikaans	take GPS app	download pdf
Amharic		download pdf
Arabic		download pdf
Armenian	take GPS app	download pdf
Bemba		download pdf
Burmese		download pdf
Chinese	take GPS app	download pdf
Croatian	take GPS app	download pdf
Dutch	take GPS app	download pdf_
English	take GPS app	download pdf or < >>
Farsi/Persian		download pdf
French	take GPS app	download pdf
Georgian	take GPS app	download pdf
German	take GPS app	download pdf
Greek	take GPS app	download pdf
Haitian		download pdf
Hausa		download pdf
Hebrew		download pdf
Indonesian	take GPS app	download pdf
Italian	take GPS app	download pdf
Japanese	take GPS app	download pdf
Norwegian	take GPS app	download pdf
Polish	take GPS app	download pdf
Portuguese (Europe)	take GPS app	download pdf
Portuguese (Brazil)	take GPS app	download pdf
Romanian		download pdf
Russian	take GPS app	download pdf
Slovak	take GPS app	download pdf
Spanish	take GPS app	download pdf
Spanish (Argentinian)	take GPS app	download pdf
Swahili		download pdf
Turkish	take GPS app	download pdf
Ukrainian		download pdf
Xhosa		download pdf
Yoruba		download pdf



GPS languages

The GPS is currently available in over 30 languages (Table 1). For direct links: https://www.global-psychotrauma.net/gps

The English version has been translated into the languages listed above following the translation and cultural adaptation process described by Sousa and Rojjanasrirat (2011) or a similar process. Please note that some (African) languages are widely spoken across different countries and there might be instances when the terminology may suit one country better than another. Please contact us at gc.traumaticstress@gmail.com if a specific country version is required.

The GPS app

The GPS is also available through the GPS webapp. The app allows users to easily fill out the GPS and to receive immediate feedback on scores.

For direct links: https://www.global-psychotrauma.net/gps



Collecting GPS data for research or clinical use

Researchers and clinicians may get a private portal for access to GPS app data. Please contact us if you are interested.

Scoring and interpretation

Respondents are asked to answer each of the 17 symptom items and each of the 5 risk and protective factors with 'Yes' or 'No'. Note that the resilience item must be recoded - in the Webapp data this item is already recoded.

The functioning item is scored on a 10-point scale, with 1 indicating poor functioning and 10 excellent functioning.

GPS Scoring

GPS items 1-21: No=0 Yes=1

GPS Item 22: No=1 Yes=0 (In the GPS-app GPS22 is already recoded)

GPS item 23: 10-point scale 1 = poor 10 = excellent

The demographic and event information fields are descriptive. The short textual descriptions of the stressful experience have been used in a text mining study as predictors of trauma-related symptoms (Marengo et al., 2022).



Total and GPS domain scores

GPS total and domain scores can be calculated see *Tables 2 & 3*. See appendix 4 for a detailed SPSS Syntax.

Cutoff scores

Cutoff scores (Table 2) are provided to detect specific disorders with optimal sensitivity relative to specificity¹, based on the currently available published and unpublished studies (find them <u>here</u>). In situations where it is important to minimize false positives, a higher cutoff score might be considered.

It is advised that scoring above the total symptom cutoff or on certain domains is followed up with more detailed assessments, e.g., with structured interviews for specific disorders.

Table 2. Scoring of domains

TRAUMA RELATED DISORDERS OR PROBLEMS (DOMAINS)	SCORING	CUTOFF WITH OPTIMAL SENSITIVITY FOR DETECTING SPECIFIC DISORDERS / PROBLEMS
GPS PTSD	Sum of items 1-5 (range 0-5)	3
GPS DSO	Sum of items 6-7 (range 0-2)	1
GPS COMPLEX PTSD	Sum of items 1-7 (range 0-7)	4
GPS ANXIETY	Sum of items 8-9 (range 0-2)	1
GPS DEPRESSION	Sum of items 10-11 (range 0-2)	1
GPS INSOMNIA	Item 12 (range 0-1)	1
GPS SELF-HARM	Item 13 (range 0-1)	1
GPS DISSOCIATION	Sum of items 14-15 (range 0-2)	1
GPS SUBSTANCE ABUSE	Item 18 (range 0-1)	1
GPS OTHER PROBLEMS	Item 16 (range 0-1)	1

Table 3. Scoring total scores

TRANSDIAGNOSTIC OVERALL SYMPTOM BURDEN	ITEMS	HOW TO USE
GPS Symptoms	Sum of items 1-16 + 18 (range 0-17)	Higher scores indicate higher overall burden. Cutoff with optimal sensitivity for detecting any disorder / problem and severity categories will be available in 2024. Mean scores per country are provided in Appendix 2.
RISK & PROTECTIVE FACTORS		
GPS Risk & Protective factors	Sum of items 17 + 19- 22r (range 0-5)	Higher scores indicate higher risk for (a negative course of) trauma related disorders or problems. Cutoff with optimal sensitivity for predicting any disorder / problem will be available in 2023.

¹ Optimal sensitivity means we prioritize detecting persons with mental health problems potentially needing help or treatment (true positives), while the number of false negatives should be low. Specificity relates to correctly identifying trauma survivors without traumatic stress problems.



Psychometric properties and norm data

Ongoing research suggests good internal reliability as well as concurrent validity of the GPS with instruments measuring a range of psychotrauma related symptom domains (Olff et al., 2020 & 2021, Oe et al., 2020; Rossi et al., 2020; Rossi et al., 2021; Frewen et al., 2021). Network analyses confirm the conceptualization of psychological responses to traumatic events (including COVID-19) as a network of highly interconnected symptoms and support the use of a transdiagnostic approach (Williamson et al., 2021).

Cross cultural norm data have been collected in a large global sample and data collection is ongoing. A paper based on over 7000 participants has been published (Olff et al., 2021). GPS total and domain scores per gender aggregated from countries with samples sizes >100 are listed in Appendix 2. Means and SDs for GPS symptom scores of male and female respondents in specific countries with sample sizes > 100 are listed in Appendix 3.

GPS existing data sets

Please feel free to use existing data sets including the GPS to answer further research questions. These can be found here (https://www.global-psychotrauma.net/data-sets).

Ongoing research using the GPS

An overview of ongoing studies can be found here, raw data on the FAIR data sets page.



References

- Frewen, P., McPhail, I, Schnyder, U., Oe, M., Olff, M. (2021). Global Psychotrauma Screen (GPS): Psychometric Properties in two Internet-based Studies. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 12(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1881725
- Frewen, P., Vincent, A., & Olff, M. (2024). Childhood trauma histories in men and women assessed by the childhood attachment and relational trauma screen (CARTS) and the global psychotrauma screen (GPS): Results from the global collaboration on traumatic stress (GC-TS). Child Abuse & Neglect, 149, 106610. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.chiabu.2023.106610
- Grace, E., Rogers, R., Usher, R., Rivera, I.M., Elbakry, H., Sotilleo, S., Doe, R., Toribio, M., Coreas, N., & Olff, M. (2023).
 Psychometric Properties of the Global Psychotrauma Screen in the United States. Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine, 11,1, 2266215, doi.org/10.1080/21642850.2023.2266215.
- Havermans, D.C.D., Hoeboer, C.M., Sobczak, S., Primasari, I., Coimbra, B.M., Hovnanyan, A., Novakovic, I.Z., Langevin, R., Aakvaag, H.F., Grace, E., Dragan, M., Lueger-Schuster, B., El-Hage, W. & Olff, M.. (2023). <u>The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and exposure to other potentially traumatic events up to old age.</u> *J Trauma Stress*. Aug;36(4):738-749. doi: 10.1002/jts.22937. Epub 2023 May 23.
- Hoffman, J., Ben-Zion, Z., Arévalo, A., Duek, O., Greene, T., Hall, B., Harpaz-Rotem, I., Liddell, B., Locher C., Morina, N., Nickerson, A., Pfaltz, M., Schick, M., Schnyder, U., Seedat, S., Shatri, F., Fong Sit,, H., von Känel., R. & Spiller, T.R. (2022). Mapping the availability of translated versions of posttraumatic stress disorder screening questionnaires for adults: A scoping review protocol. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 13,2. doi 10.1080/20008066.2022.2143019
- Marengo, D., Hoeboer, C.M., Veldkamp, B.P., GPS-txt consortium, & Olff M. (2022). Text mining to improve screening for trauma-related symptoms in a global sample. Psychiatry Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114753
- Oe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Ishida, T., Chiba, H., Matsuoka, M., Kakuma, T., Frewen, P. & Olff, M. (2020). Screening for
 psychotrauma related symptoms: Japanese translation and pilot testing of the Global Psychotrauma Screen. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 11(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1810893
- Olff, M., Bakker, A., Frewen, P., Aakvaag, H., Ajdukovic, D., Brewer, D., Elmore Borbon, D.L., Cloitre, M., Hyland, P., Kassam-Adams, N., Knefel, M., Lanza, J.A., Lueger-Schuster, B., Nickerson, A., Oe, M., Pfaltz, M.C., Salgado, C., Seedat, S., Wagner, A., Schnyder, U. & Global Collaboration on Traumatic Stress (GC-TS) (2020). Screening for consequences of trauma an update on the global collaboration on traumatic stress. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 11(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1752504
- Olff, M., Primasari, I, Qing, Y, Coimbra B.M., Hovnanyan, A, Grace E, Williamson, R.E., Hoeboer, C.M. & Global Collaboration on Traumatic Stress (GC-TS) (2021). Mental Health Responses to COVID-19 around the World. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 12(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1929754
- Rossi, R., Socci, V., Pacitti, F., Di Lorenzo, G., Di Marco, A., Siracusano, A., Rossi, Alessandro (2020). Mental Health
 Outcomes Among Frontline and Second-Line Health CareWorkers During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
 Pandemic in Italy. JAMA Network Open. 3,5:e2010185. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.10185
- Rossi, R., Socci, V., Talevi, D. Cinzia Niolu, C., Pacitti, F., Di Marco, A., Rossi, A., Siracusano, A., Di Lorenzo, G., Olff, M. (2021). Trauma-spectrum symptoms among the Italian general population in the time of the COVID-19 outbreak. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 12(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1855888
- Salimi, Y., Hoeboer, C., Motevalli Haghi, S.A. et al. (2023). Trauma and its consequences in Iran: cross-cultural adaption and validation of the Global Psychotrauma Screen in a representative sample. *BMC Psychiatry* 23, 65. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-023-04564-8
- Schnyder, U., Schafer, I., Aakvaag, H. F., Ajdukovic, D., Bakker, A., Bisson, J.I., Brewer, D., Cloitre, M., Dyb, G.A., Frewen, P., Lanza, J., Le Brocque, R., Lueger-Schuster, B., Mwiti, G.K., Oe, M., Rosner, R., Schellong, J., Shigemura, J., Wu, K., & Olff, M. (2017). The global collaboration on traumatic stress. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 8,(1), doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2017.1403257
- Sousa, V.D. & Rojjanasrirat, W. (2011). Translation, adaptation and validation of instruments or scales for use in crosscultural health care research: a clear and user-friendly guideline. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 17, 268–274
- Sobczak, S., van Kordenoordt, M., Uiterwijk, R., Cook, J. M., Havermans, D. C. D., Vossen, L., Ramakers, I., Olff, M., & van Alphen, S. P. J. (2024). Challenges in diagnosing Posttraumatic stress disorder in dementia: A case report. Psychiatry Research Case Reports, 3(1), 100207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psycr.2024.100207
- Williamson R. E., Hoeboer, C., Primasari, I., Qing, Y., Coimbra, B., Hovnanyan, A., Grace, E., & Olff, M. (2021). Symptom networks of COVID-19-related versus other potentially traumatic events in a global sample. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 84, 102476 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2021.102476

Child/adolescent studies

- Bi, Y., Cao, C., Fang, R., Wang, N., Liu, P., Luo, S., Grace, E., & Wang, L. (2024). A latent class analysis of dissociative PTSD subtype among Chinese adolescents following the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 349, 596-603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.12.078
- Cao, C., Wang, L., Fang, R., Liu, P., Bi, Y., Luo, S., Grace, E., & Olff, M. (2021). Anxiety, depression, and PTSD symptoms among high school students in China in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. Journal of Affective Disorders, 296. 126-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.052



- Grace, E., Sotilleo, Sh., Rogers, R., Doe, R., & Olff, M. (2021). Semantic adaptation of the Global Psychotrauma Screen for children and adolescents in the United States. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 12, (1). https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2021.1911080
- Koutsopoulou, I., Grace, E., Gkintoni, E., & Olff, M. (2024). Validation of the Global Psychotrauma Screen for Adolescents in Greece. European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 100384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejtd.2024.100384

Contact details

If you have any questions regarding the GPS, please contact:

gc.traumaticstress@gmail.com



Appendices

Appendix 1. GPS English version

Global Psychotrauma Screen (GPS)	Participant Id	entification Number
Gender	☐ Male Other	
Age (years)		
Sometimes things happen to people that are unusually or espective frightening, horrible, or traumatic.	ially	
Briefly describe the event or experience that currently affects you the most	:	
This event happened: □ last month □ last half year □ last year □ lo	nger ago	
This event: ☐ was a single event occurring, at age ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐		
Which of the below characterize the event (more answers possible):		
Physical violence: ☐ to yourself ☐ happened to someone else Sexual violence: ☐ to yourself ☐ happened to someone else Emotional abuse: ☐ to yourself ☐ happened to someone else Serious injury: ☐ to yourself ☐ happened to someone else ☐ threatening: ☐ to yourself ☐ happened to someone else ☐ happened to someone else ☐ Sudden death of a loved one ☐ You causing harm to someone else ☐ You causing harm		
☐ Corona virus (COVID-19)		
Considering the above event, in the past month have you		
1. had nightmares about the past traumatic life event(s) you have experienced or thought about the event(s) when you did not want to?	□ No	□ Yes
 tried hard not to think about past traumatic life event(s) or went out of your way to avoid situations that reminded you of the event(s)? 	□ No	□ Yes
3 been constantly on guard, watchful, or easily startled?	□ No	□Yes
4 felt numb or detached from people, activities, or your surroundings?	□ No	□ Yes
felt guilty or unable to stop blaming yourself or others for past traumatic life event(s) or any problems the event(s) caused?	□ No	□ Yes
6 tended to feel worthless?	□ No	□ Yes
7 experienced angry outbursts that you could not control?	□ No	□ Yes



8.	been feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge?	□ No	☐ Yes
9.	been unable to stop or control worrying?	□ No	□ Yes
10.	been feeling down, depressed, or hopeless?	□ No	☐ Yes
11.	been experiencing little interest or pleasure in doing things?	□ No	☐ Yes
12.	had any problems falling or staying asleep?	□ No	□ Yes
13.	tried to intentionally hurt yourself?	□ No	☐ Yes
14.	perceived or experienced the world or other people differently, so that things seem dreamlike, strange or unreal?	□ No	□ Yes
15.	··· felt detached or separated from your body (for example, feeling like you are looking down on yourself from above, or like you are an outside observer of your own body)?	□ No	□ Yes
16.	had any other physical, emotional or social problems that bothered you?	□ No	☐ Yes
17.	experienced other stressful events (such as financial problems, changing jobs, moving to another house, relational crisis in work or private life)?	□ No	□ Yes
18.	tried to reduce tensions by using alcohol, tobacco, drugs or medication?	□ No	□ Yes
19.	••• missed supportive people near you that you could readily count on for help in times of difficulty (such as emotional support, watch over children or pets, give rides to hospital or store, help when you are sick)?	□ No	□ Yes
20.	During <u>your childhood</u> (0-18 years), did you experience any traumatic life events (e.g., a serious accident or fire, physical or sexual assault or abuse, a disaster, seeing someone be killed or seriously injured, or having a loved one die)?	□ No	□ Yes
21.	Have you <u>ever</u> received a psychiatric diagnosis or have you ever been treated for psychological problems (for example, depression, anxiety or a personality disorder)?	□ No	□ Yes
22.	Do you generally consider yourself to be a resilient person?	□ No	☐ Yes
23.	How would you rate your present functioning (at work/home)? Poor 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Excellen	t	
0 00			

^{© 2016/2020,} Global Psychotrauma Screen 2.0 Olff & Bakker, Global Collaboration on Traumatic Stress, https://www.global-psychotrauma.net/gps



Appendix 2. GPS symptoms and domain scores (16 Feb 2024)

	Female (n=7715)	Male (n=2424)	Other gender	Total
	M (SD)	M (SD)	(n=160)	(n=10299)
			M (SD)	M (SD)
GPS_Symptoms	8.80 (4.65)	6.78 (4.83)	11.99 (4.03)	8.38 (4.78)
GPS_PTSD	0.57 (0.34)	0.43 (0.34)	0.74 (0.29)	0.54 (0.34)
GPS_DSO	0.48 (0.40)	0.37 (0.40)	0.65 (0.34)	0.45 (0.40)
GPS_CPTSD	0.55 (0.32)	0.41 (0.32)	0.72 (0.26)	0.52 (0.32)
GPS_Anxiety	0.70 (0.39)	0.55 (0.42)	0.82 (0.33)	0.66 (0.40)
GPS_Depression	0.64 (0.41)	0.50 (0.43)	0.82 (0.33)	0.61 (0.42)
GPS_Insomnia	0.62 (0.48)	0.50 (0.50)	0.79 (0.41)	0.60 (0.49)
GPS_Self-harm	0.12 (0.33)	0.08 (0.27)	0.38 (0.49)	0.12 (0.38)
GPS_Dissociation	0.29 (0.38)	0.21 (0.35)	0.59 (0.44)	0.28 (0.38)
GPS_SubstanceAbuse	0.36 (0.48)	0.32 (0.47)	0.51 (0.50)	0.35 (0.48)
GPS_OtherProblems	0.62 (0.49)	0.46 (0.50)	0.83 (0.38)	0.59 (0.49)
GPS_RiskProtect	3.31 (1.45)	2.85 (1.71)	4.14 (0.91)	3.22 (1.53)



Appendix 3. GPS symptoms scores per country (16 Feb 2024)

Means and SDs for GPS symptom score of males and females for countries with sample sizes > 100

Country	Gender	Number of respondents	GPS symptom score (mean)	Standard deviation
Armenia	Male	91	7.57	4.21
	Female	251	8.71	3.53
	Total	343	8.41	3.74
Australia	Male	58	8.16	5.19
	Female	199	9.58	4.83
	Total	261	9.31	4.93
Austria	Male	49	4.16	4.05
	Female	127	6.22	4.29
	Total	178	5.68	4.34
Belgium	Male	77	5.62	4.56
	Female	200	7.58	4.51
	Total	277	7.04	4.60
Brazil	Male	155	6.37	4.75
	Female	561	8.02	4.32
	Total	716	7.67	4.46
Canada	Male	41	8.56	5.02
	Female	306	10.30	4.23
	Total	360	10.19	4.33
Chile	Male	49	8.14	4.05
	Female	116	10.09	4.10
	Total	165	9.52	4.17
China	Male	169	7.40	4.74
	Female	329	6.84	4.83
	Total	498	7.03	4.80
Croatia	Male	32	6.56	4.51
	Female	245	7.53	4.00
	Total	277	7.42	4.07
Cyprus	Male	33	5.82	4.15
	Female	97	6.75	4.66
	Total	130	6.52	4.54
France	Male	63	8.52	4.67
	Female	315	9.38	4.69
	Total	381	9.28	4.69
Georgia	Male	48	7.08	4.08
-	Female	65	7.38	4.06
	Total	113	7.26	4.06
Germany	Male	34	7.82	5.59
	Female	227	10.46	4.82
	Total	265	10.15	4.98
Greece	Male	69	5.22	3.92
	Female	193	6.07	3.83



	_	Number of	GPS symptom	Standard
Country	Gender Total	respondents 262	score (mean) 5.85	deviation
		-		3.86
India	Male	69	6.09	4.29
	Female	136	7.76	4.67
In december	Total	207	7.29	4.67
Indonesia	Male	138	7.01	4.50
	Female	456	8.18	4.53
	Total	598	7.93	4.55
Italy	Male	29	6.72	4.33
	Female	104	7.02	4.07
	Total	133	6.95	4.11
Japan	Male	41	4.61	3.85
	Female	94	5.55	4.36
	Total	135	5.27	4.22
Netherlands	Male	86	6.35	4.46
	Female	180	7.21	4.78
	Total	271	7.04	4.77
Norway	Male	15	8.33	4.42
	Female	167	8.40	4.95
	Total	182	8.39	4.90
Poland	Male	32	9.28	5.34
	Female	266	10.60	4.15
	Total	315	10.54	4.31
Portugal	Male	152	5.48	4.82
	Female	143	6.47	4.46
	Total	295	5.96	4.67
Russian Federation	Male	222	2.75	3.66
	Female	226	5.09	4.05
	Total	448	3.93	4.03
South Africa	Male	31	6.55	4.03
	Female	195	9.58	4.61
	Total	227	9.17	4.64
Turkey	Male	31	8.06	4.84
	Female	113	8.27	3.94
	Total	145	8.21	4.12
Ukraine	Male	17	8.47	3.08
	Female	107	8.72	3.84
	Total	127	8.80	3.76
United Kingdom of Great	Male	32	7.50	4.49
Britain and Northern	Female	116	9.78	4.47
Ireland	Total	152	9.40	4.56
United States of America	Male	333	9.58	4.46
	Female	1642	10.86	4.14
	Total	2051	10.70	4.21



Appendix 4. SPSS Syntax

SPSS Syntax for calculating GPS symptom and domain scores

*GPS domain mean scores.

COMPUTE GPS PTSD=SUM(GPS1,GPS2,GPS3,GPS4,GPS5)/5.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS DSO=SUM(GPS6,GPS7)/2.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS CPTSD= SUM(GPS1,GPS2,GPS3,GPS4,GPS5,GPS6,GPS7)/7.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS_Anx=SUM(GPS8,GPS9)/2.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS_Depr=SUM(GPS10,GPS11)/2.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS_Insomnia=SUM(GPS12).

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS Selfharm=SUM(GPS13).

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS Dissoc=SUM(GPS14, GPS15)/2.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS Substance=GPS18.

EXECUTE.

COMPUTE GPS_Otherproblems=GPS16.

EXECUTE.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS PTSD'GPS PTSD'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS_Anx 'GPS Anxiety'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS Depr 'GPS Depression'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS DSO 'GPS PTSD DSO'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS CPTSD 'GPS CPTSD'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS_Insomnia 'GPS Insomnia'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS Selfharm 'GPS Self harm'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS Dissoc 'GPS Dissociation'.

VARIABLE LABELS GPS Other problems 'GPS Other problems'.

EXECUTE.

*GPS symptom scores.

COMPUTE GPS_Sym = sum(gps1 to gps16) + gps18.

EXECUTE.

VARIABLE LEVEL GPS Sym(SCALE).

*GPS Risk-protective factors.

** Note that when using the GPS app data the GPS22 (resilience item) has already been recoded, for hand coding see scoring instructions above).

COMPUTE RiskNrFactors = GPS17 + GPS19 + GPS20 + GPS21 + GPS22.

EXECUTE.